

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 FIRS HOME OWNERS ASSOCIATION,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 CITY OF SEATAC,

12 Defendant.
13
14

NO. C19-1130RSL


ORDER

15 This matter comes before the Court on “Defendant’s Notice of Pendency of Other Action
16 in Another Jurisdiction or Forum.” Dkt. # 6. Because the notice suggested that a stay of the
17 above-captioned matter would be appropriate in order to conserve resources and promote the
18 efficient determination of this action, plaintiffs were given an opportunity to respond. Plaintiff
19 opposes the stay, correctly pointing out that defendant has not shown how the land use
20 proceedings will bear on this case or which abstention doctrine applies. In reply, defendant notes
21 that it was simply complying with requirements of LCR 3(h) and has not actually moved for a
22 stay, despite its suggestion in the notice.
23
24

25 //

1 In light of the fact that neither party seeks a stay of the above-captioned matter, the Court
2 will not exercise its broad discretion to phase the proceedings.
3

4 DATED this 27th day of August, 2019.
5

6 
7

8 Robert S. Lasnik
9 United States District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27